Graduate Council Meeting 4 October 13, 2009

Indiana State University Faculty Senate GRADUATE COUNCIL Minutes

<u>Present</u>: M. Boyer, S. Buchanan, T. Demchak, B. Eversole, R. Gonser, L. Kahanov, J. Sprock; L. Tinnerman (late)

Absent: D. Robinson

<u>Program Development Subcommittee:</u> P. Cochrane (COT), F. Lai (CIMT)

Speaking Seats: D. Collins (COE), J. Gatrell (CGPS Dean), A. Hay (CGPS), Owen
(Registrar), (CAS), R. McGiverin (Library), M. Miller (CNHHS), (COT), J.
Erikson & B. Ciolli (GSA), C. MacDonald (Senate Exec), J. Harper (COB)

Guests: B. Williams & B. Battista(CNHHS)

- 1. Call to Order: T. Demchak called the meeting to order at 3:02 PM.
- 2. Adoption of Agenda: The agenda was adopted by acclamation.
- 3. **Approval of Minutes:** (9/22 & 10/6) Rusty 1 Kahanov 2 approved 6-0-0 (J. Sprock and L. Tinnerman were late and did not vote).
- 4. Old Business: Discussion of CNHHS programs
 - a. **J. Gatrell** requested all programs to be approved at once pending the state level approval; Motion:Boyer 1, Kahanov 2
 - b. Discussion on DNP program ensued
 - i. J. Gatrell: What is capacity in the cohort; w 20 per year?
 - ii. M. MillerOnce per year admittance, 20 overall, if we had more part-time, could be up to 30 is the maximum capacity.
 - iii. T. Demchack: in the cost analysis, after the third year there was a decrease
 - iv. M. Miller: equipment replacement occurred in the third year; additional cost caused the profit to be reduced
 - v. R. Gosner: why are admission standards 2.7; should be more competitive

- vi. M. Miller: there are pros and cons on higher GPA; GRE is required GPA could be closer to 3.5 depending on how competitive the pool
- vii. J. Gatrell: It is an issue of standards, don't go the minimum for the grad school, the lower GPA gives flexibility, or equivalent MCAT score, good time to recruit from pool for whom we are second choice; want to get some history before cutting off at GRE score
- viii. R.Gonser: concern the 2.7 is too low, students will not apply for the program; will impact accreditation process; still allow flexibility
- ix. M. Miller: how about preferred 3.0
- x. J. Gatrell no conditional admits to the program due to the cohort nature of the program
- xi. B. Williams: provisional vs conditional admitted
- xii. L. Kahanov: we can look at provisional down the line
- xiii. J. Gatrell: preferred 3.0, not hard line, may go lower, need to clarify 2.7/3.0
- xiv. M. Boyer: program requirements should be consistent. Small cohort of quality people would be better
- xv. S. Buchanan: how competitive would the program be?
- xvi. M. Miller: 130 applicants for 30 slots currently
- xvii. R. Gonser: how many above 3.0?
- xviii. M. Miller: all would pass; Nursing already has
- xix. M. Boyer: Has there been a cost analysis done on what recent economic experience may have on the plans? Full paid people in DNP.
- xx. M. Miller: DNP folks already advanced nursing, in the healthcare sector; they make \$65-\$85K to \$150, they may have employer reimbursement, so far no reduction in applicants currently Certification issue
- xxi. M. Boyer: economy tanking has happened recently; not part of the numbers
- xxii. M. Miller: yes, but state tuition model compared to the national, Midwest is a mecca for nursing education, it is a great value, that attracts people from across the US, so far no negative impact and don't anticipate one
- xxiii. G. Maughan: PhD in Technology Management at CoT program experienced a 20% reduction in courses as students who work in industry lost tuition reimbursement
- xxiv. M. Boyer: that is my point, bottomline dollars may not look that good
- xxv. M. Miller: these students are strongly committed. Now is the time to get on the explosion of mid-level providers bandwagon
- xxvi. T. Demchak called the question in favor 8-0-0 to approve contingent upon the approval of the programs by the Indiana Commission on Higher Education

- c. Motion to approve DPT program, Boyer 1 Gonser 2
 - i. T. Demchak: average for program is 3.7 GPA
 - ii. R. Gonser: need higher quality student to have a higher impact on the field; How do courses get approved, what about overlap with other departments?
 - iii. M. Miller: we were concerned about that, when courses are already present, then we can use them
 - iv. R. Gonser: there is some overlap with Biology
 - v. M. Miller: and also with Athletic Training
 - vi. R. Gonser: 9 new faculty lines to deliver—may be reduced due to classes already
 - vii. B. Williams: We will phase these programs in over time, we may need less faculty based on what is already available, as we implement, we can take lines away
 - viii. M. Boyer: when does the accreditation happen?
 - ix. M. Miller: we apply right away, there will be a site visit in the middle of the first cohort, they will audit the graduation and licensure rates of students, 1 ½ yr accreditation before graduation
 - x. R. Gonser: will it be provisional
 - xi. M. Miller: yes
 - xii. R. Gonser: another reason to have the higher GPA requirement; is there any experience for this one
 - xiii. M. Miller: post baccalaureate, this program has internships, role play simulation to add practice elements
 - xiv. R. Gonser: Is the MCAT or GRE required?
 - xv. M. Miller: 80% GRE, more preferred over MCAT
 - xvi. R. Gonser: Is there GRE min?
 - xvii. M. Boyer: GRE is problematic from a diversity standpoint, knocks out otherwise good candidates, not a good predictor
 - xviii. M. Miller: we want applicants with a passion for primary care in a rural setting; that is not measured by GRE
 - xix. L. Tinnerman: why do we require it if it is not a good predictor?
 - xx. M. Miller: people come from different programs with different standards, GRE just one indicator
 - xxi. T. Demchak: GPAs differ across institutions and academic programs
 - xxii. M. Boyer: we use GRE but we knew what issues applicants would have
 - xxiii. G. Maughan: the wider the variance the more energy is required to explain why folks didn't get in, higher standard reduces the variability
 - xxiv. M. Miller; feel comfortable with preferred 3.0, can increase over time
 - xxv. L. Kahanov: don't know the distribution, don't know who will go where, preferred 3.0 good. Will be revisited
 - xxvi. T. Demchak: pool will be higher probably 3.2

- xxvii. T. Demchak called the question in favor 8-0-0 to approve contingent upon the approval of the programs by the Indiana Commission on Higher Education with language that preferred GPA is 3.0.
- d. Motion on MPA, R. Gonser 1 J. Sprock 2
 - i. Same issue as previous
 - ii. Preferred 3.0 for this as well
 - iii. B. Ciolli: expressed concern about placements and internships for all these students; still worried with IUPUI competing in Indy, Terre Haute really minimal for mental health placements, 30 students for each of those rotations
 - iv. M. Miller: The 30 students have different specialties, that whole year there is rotation, the students will have a rural focus, Sullivan, Clay City, not Indy or Terre Haute, people can use more than one type of practitioner. Not paid internships.
 - v. T. Demchak: not much competition to the west, students can go back to where they are from to complete internships
 - vi. M.Boyer: back to economics again, graduate students will want support
 - vii. M. Miller: no scholarships will be available but financial aid, federal dollars; will not approach Grad School about support
 - viii. M. Boyer: students will ask and will be a strain on the college
 - ix. M. Miller: we will be a self-lending institution by that time; We'll still have enough students
 - x. T. Demchak: grad assistantships not the norm for our PT programs anyway
 - xi. M. Miller: will come out of our pot
 - xii. R. Gonser: will there be a requirement that they go into Rural Health?
 - xiii. B. Williams: we are looking at underserved populations and we can't require Rural Health, it is a legal issue, just not possible, we can only encourage and hope
 - xiv. R. Gonser: will there be slotted seats for RH?
 - xv. B. Williams: It's up to the department, how they want it to play out
 - xvi. M. Boyer 1 R. Gonser 2 voted in favor 8-0-0 to approve contingent upon the approval of the programs by the Indiana Commission on Higher Education with language that GPA 3.0 is preferred.
- e. M. Boyer: thanks to CNHHS for bringing this and bringing the energy; had to go through big hoops; good luck with rest of process
- f. T. Demchak: any suggestions for how to present this to the Senate

- g. S. Gick: the paperwork is pristine and that will make it easier
- 5. Graduate Student Report: (none)
- 6. Registrar's Report: (S. Gick): Shortly it will be midterm grade time, minus grades will be out there in testing mode, undergrad classes, C- deficient grade, not a 2.0, much longer list from which to pick grading for the term; IP grade for a full year course (In Progress) for 2 semester classes, thesis also included, show in progress rather than incomplete
 - a. T. Demchak: stops at C for grad students
 - b. S. Gick: no C- it is an F. prereq checking we are making progress, Fall 2010 these will be in place, transfer work also included based on the catalog HRD has need for prereqs for certain courses; reserved seating is being piloted
- 7. Motion to adjourn no meeting next week meet in two weeks R. Gonser 1 second L. Kahanov
- 8. **Adjournment:** 4:00pm

Respectfully submitted, Barbara Eversole Secretary