

Indiana State University
Teacher Education Committee
April 21, 2009

APPROVED MINUTES
(Approved September 15, 2009)

Members present: D. Ballard, C. Becker, C. Chao, D. Clark, H. Ganapathy-Coleman, S. Gruenert, E. Hampton, K. Harris, R. Hinshaw, S. Kiger, S. Latta, M. Lee, M. Nail, D. Quatroche, A. Solesky, C. Tucker,

Members absent: L. Barratt, F. Lai, C. Marchese, A. Norris, K. Wenning

Ex Officio Members present: B. Balch, S. Powers, J. Sheese, B. Libler, D. Collins

Guests: Y. Peterson, B. Whitaker

1. Call to Order – The meeting was called to order at 3.31 pm by TEC Chair E. Hampton who proposed a friendly amendment to the agenda to include selection/election of a new chair for TEC for 2009-10 as the first order of new business.
2. Approval of Minutes for March 17, 2009 - C. Tucker moved approval; D. Ballard seconded and the minutes were approved 12-0-0.
3. Old Business
 - Health Sciences Proposal - Questions about this dealt with whether majors are required to take one of the health concentrations to get a degree in health. A question was also raised about total number of hours in catalog. S. Powers said that there are more courses in the school health concentration and enquired about all the changes that this proposal would entail. Y. Peterson from Health and Safety clarified that only core courses have been changed to be called foundational courses and that students choose their health sciences major and then choose a concentration. There will be no change in the number of credit hours or in anything else such as the matrix or the assessments or syllabi or books or data collected. D. Ballard pointed out that the number of hours do not add up, a matter that will need correction. It is also being named as “TEP 1” which it is not; it should be “BCP 1” program. Y. Peterson said that both changes will be made. M. Lee moved approval, D. Clark seconded and the proposal was approved 11-0-1.
4. New Business
 - New Chair Election - E. Hampton called for nominations for the position of chair. There were none. He proposed a May single-item meeting so that people could think about it. Only COE faculty who are continuing as TEC members can be chair. Preferably these individuals should not be first term members.

- ELED Course Banking – A unanimous decision was made to treat all ELED proposals as group. C. Becker moved approval, M. Nail seconded. D. Ballard said that these small changes are meant to streamline the program. S. Powers and D. Collins said that this program will be replacing ELED 100 as soon as the students can be moved. The proposal was approved 12-0-0.

- Physical Science - R. Hinshaw moved approval, M. Nail seconded and the proposal was approved 12-0-0.

- COUN 595K Changes – This proposal was for a description change. M. Nail moved motion for approval; C. Tucker seconded. E. Hampton opened up the topic for discussion. He enquired about the out-of-sequence numbering. S. Powers clarified that there were other numbered items that had already been banked, hence the out-of-order numbering here. The proposal was approved 12-0-0.

- COE Constitution – Since the document was not attached in the email, it was classified by E. Hampton as an informational item. Additionally, TEC has no authority to change or amend anything. B. Balch informed the committee that the language of the constitution is being simplified and updated.

- Initial UAS Committee COE Plan for Establishing Reliability and Validity of Measures – M. Nail moved approval, R. Hinshaw seconded. During the discussion, B. Whitaker represented the initial UAS committee and reported that the committee is looking for feedback and approval. In the document, there is no focus on construct related validity but there is a focus on interrater reliability and criterion validity. As it does not establish a timeline, the time line may be something to think about in the future. The document was approved 11-0-1.

5. Associate Deans' Report – Becky Libler & Susan Powers

- S. Powers said that the National Technology standards have been changed to include 5 new items that are net generation oriented. We can offer students choices on what technological alternatives to use, and can offer workshops delivered by faculty, staff, and graduate students. Students have to show that they have attended some or all of these as requirements. We are not in compliance with the educational technology standards; we are still following the standards from 2000.

- NCATE has approved date changes in their visits to institutions in Indiana. Our visit will be in fall 2012. However, the new NCATE report may need to be written two years ahead of the visit so that corrections and revisions can be made. The institutional program report will be due to the state in fall 2010 with 3 years of aggregated data reports.

- BCP 1 application information is up on our website and will be used for checking student admission criteria. An advising survey is also included that students will fill out. Sept 18 will be our next assessment day in the new building in the new conference center. For the assessment day, program reports are due on

June 1 to S. Powers for the past 3 years preferably. Any program director can run reports on tk20 if they obtain permission to do so.

6. ESS Report

- J. Sheese issued an invitation for COE Honor Day next Wednesday.
- J. Sheese informed the committee that some of the issues that we were worried about at the state level are settling down.

7. TEC Informational Session/Seminar – E. Hampton observed that 6 people attended the last informational session. It emerged from the discussion that we are best at experiential learning and teaching.

8. TEC Chair Election – E. Hampton re-introduced the topic. M. Nail expressed willingness to be nominee if another individual would also self-nominate which C. Tucker did. TEC members voted M. Nail in as the next chair of TEC.

9. Adjournment – The meeting was adjourned at 4.15 PM.

Respectfully submitted by:
Hema Ganapathy-Coleman,
TEC Secretary