Student Learning Summary Form AY2016-17

Degree Program: Elementary Education

Part One

What learning outcomes did you assess this year?	What method(s) did you use to determine how well your attained the outcome? In what course or other experience did the assessment occur?	What expectations did you establish for achievement of the outcome?	What were the actual results?	Who was responsible for collecting and analyzing the results? How were they shared with the department?
Candidates will integrate and apply knowledge for instruction; Candidates will foster active engagement in learning on the part of students; Candidates will foster active inquiry, collaboration, and supportive interaction in the classroom.	Lesson plans from EIEd 400 Teacher Work Sample from EIEd 457 Assessment of Lessons and TOTAL Program	80% of teacher candidates will receive a rating of Meets or Exceeds expectations on a rubric supplied at the beginning of the semester in each course.	100% of EIEd 400 teacher candidates (N=66) met the outcome during AY2016 from all ISU supervisor's cohorts; 100% of EIEd 457 teacher candidates (N-77) met the outcome during AY 2015 from all ISU supervisor's cohorts.	ISU supervisors of EIEd 400 and instructors of EIEd 457 in the EIEd faculty in the Department of Teaching and Learning were responsible. Results are shared at least annually at a meeting of the full faculty.

Detailed assessment results and departmental meeting minutes are available upon request.

Part Two

In no more than half a page, summarize 1) the discoveries assessment has enabled you to make about your students' learning, the curriculum, the departmental processes, and/or the assessment plan itself; 2) the changes and improvements you have made or will make in response to these discoveries and/or the coordinators feedback on last year's summary; and 3) what your assessment plan will focus on in the coming year.

1. In EIEd 400, as a result of ISU supervisors' assessments of lesson plans and mentor teachers' assessments of lessons, teacher candidates are confident in instruction and curriculum, and assessment of student learning. Through the TOTAL Program Self-Evaluation survey given at the beginning and end of each semester, the data show that teacher candidates report sustained improvement in Ability Development, Development of Professional Attributes, and Belief in Professional Identity.

In EIEd 457, as a result of lesson plans and Teacher Work Sample, teacher candidates show that they can work independently on a variety of disciplinary and pedagogical responsibilities by combining their knowledge and skills in child development, curriculum and instruction, and assessment.

- 2. In EIEd 400, the changes to the course each semester come from suggestions from mentor teachers and from teacher candidates in regard to topics that need to be added to the weekly seminars, e.g. autism and sociological issues facing young children in inner city schools. In EIEd 457, changes mainly occur to the expectations of each assignment to make them fit the public school needs and challenges of society.
- 3.In ElEd 400, the faculty continue to monitor the 400 assessment of lessons to insure continued excellence during their practicum experience. The assessment plan in ElEd 457 will focus on aligning measured assignments with national content standards for beginning teachers. Currently faculty are re-working the 457 teacher worksample rubrics to be more reliable and valid

Addendum addressing evaluation of AY 2015-2016 recommendations

- 1. **Learning Outcomes:** Noted "vague verbs' used to describe outcomes with the suggestion, and the suggestions that mapped outcomes in the curriculum should include professional standards. In the Fall of 2017 the teacher work sample rubric (457) was re-worked to align with INTASC standards which are the framework for expectations on new teachers.
- 2. **Measures and Performance Goals**: currently the measures used for 457 are the teacher work samples which have been re-aligned to INTASC standards and are measured on a pass fail basis. The 400 rubric is primarily the assessment of lessons which is an independent measure from the self-evaluation. These are completed both by university supervisors and host teachers after observation of field performance (teaching).although data collected on both of these instruments is interval, it is reported here as nominal; pass or fail.
- 3. **Results:** this year's report includes all data not just a representative subset as with the 2015-2016 report. The lesson plans and assessments of lessons for evaluating the 400 data are examined simultaneously and thus record one finding.
- 4. **Engagement and Improvement:** Report findings to be shared during the October faculty meeting. Licensure exams consistently change and are not always related to professional standards for accreditation. For instance, current Indiana licensure exams include content area knowledge which is typically outside of this department. Efforts to coordinate content delivery are underway and have lead to a special section of foundational studies history which covers content more in line with the licensure exams.

Student Learning Summary Report Rubric :: Office of Assessment & Accreditation :: Indiana State University

Degree Program: Elementary Education Date: 10.29.2017

		Level 0 - Undeveloped	Level 1 - Developing	Level 2 - Mature	Level 3 - Exemplary
1.	. Student Learning Outcomes	☐ No outcomes were identified. ☐ No Curriculum Map was provided.	☐ Outcomes were identified. ☐ Some of the outcomes are specific, measurable, student-centered, program-level outcomes. ☐ A Curriculum Map was provided.	□ Outcomes are specific, measurable, student-centered, program-level outcomes. □ Outcomes at least indirectly support Foundational Studies Learning Outcomes or the Graduate Learning Goals. □ The Curriculum Map identifies where/to what extent each outcome is addressed. □ At least one outcome was assessed in this cycle.	 ☑ Outcomes are important, specific, measurable, student-centered programlevel outcomes that span multiple learning domains. ☐ Outcomes directly integrate with Foundational Studies Learning Outcomes or the Graduate Learning Goals. ☑ Outcomes reflect the most important results of program completion (as established by an accreditor or other professional organization). ☐ Learning outcomes are consistent across different modes of delivery (face-to-face and online.) ? ☑ Outcomes are regularly reviewed (and revised, if

			necessary) by the faculty and other stakeholders. The Curriculum Map identifies where/to what extent each outcome is addressed and offers evidence that students have sufficient opportunity to master the associated learning outcomes. Two or more outcomes
2. Measures & Performance Goals	□ No measures are provided. □ No goals for student performance are identified.		were assessed in this cycle. ☐ Multiple measures were employed, and most are direct. ☐ Detailed information is provided to show that measures are appropriate to the outcomes being assessed. ☐ Measures assess some high impact practices (internships, capstone course projects, undergraduate research, etc.) ☐ If students are required to pass a certification or licensure exam to practice in the field, this was included as a measure. Not

			Mechanisms used to	Reported.
			assess student	Reported.
			performance (rubrics,	Some measures allow
			checklists, exam keys, etc.)	performance to be gauged
			were provided.	over time, not just in a
			were provided.	single course.
				If a measure is used to
				assess more than one
				outcome, a clear
				explanation is offered to
				substantiate that this is
				appropriate.
				Clear and appropriate
				standards for performance
				are identified and justified.
				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
				☐ Mechanisms used to
				assess student performance
				(rubrics, checklists, exam
				keys, etc.) were
				summarized as well as
				provided to demonstrate
				that the measure provides
				specific evidence of what
				students know/can do.
				,
				☐ If performance goals are
				based on course and/or
				assignment grades, specific
				evidence is provided to
				demonstrate that grades
				are calibrated to the
				outcomes.
3. Results	☐ No data are being	Some data are being	Data are being collected	Clear, specific, and

		114-1	114-111	1 1	
		collected.	collected and analyzed.	and analyzed.	complete details about data
				Mp 1.	collection, analysis, and
		No information is	Some results are	Results are provided.	interpretation of results are
		provided about the data	provided.		provided to demonstrate
		collection process.		Some information is	the validity and usefulness
			Insufficient information	offered to demonstrate	of the assessment process.
		☐ No results are	is offered to demonstrate	that data collection,	
		provided.	that data collection,	analysis, and	Students generally are
			analysis, and	interpretation processes	achieving the performance
		Students are	interpretation processes	are valid and meaningful.	standards expected of them
		meeting few of the	are valid.		and demonstrate
		performance standards		Students generally are	continuous
		set for them.	Students are achieving	achieving the performance	improvement on standards
			some of the performance	standards expected of	they have yet to
			standards expected of	them.	achieve/achieve less well.
			them.		
					If students are required
					to pass a certification or
					licensure exam to practice
					in the field, the pass rate
					meets the established
					benchmark.
4.	Engagement &	No one is assigned	☐ The same faculty	Multiple faculty	All program faculty
	Improvement	responsibility for	member is responsible for	members are engaged in	members are engaged in
	-	assessing individual	collecting and analyzing	collecting and analyzing	collecting and analyzing
		measures.	most/all assessment	results.	results.
			results.		
		Assessment		Results regularly are	Faculty regularly and
		primarily is the	☐ It is not clear that	shared with the faculty.	specifically reflect on
		responsibility of the	results are shared with the		students' recent
		program chair.	faculty as a whole on a	☐ The faculty regularly	achievement of
		No improvements	regular basis.	engages in meaningful	performance goals and
		(planned or actual) are		discussions about the	implement plans to adjust
		identified.	☐ Plans for improvement	results of assessment.	
		☐ No reflection is	=	☐ These discussions lead	established timelines.
		identified.	Plans for improvement are provided, but they are not specific and/or do not	results of assessment.	activities, expectations, outcomes, etc. according to

				1
	offered about previous results or plans.	clearly connect to the results. Little reflection is offered about previous results or plans.	to the development of specific, relevant plans for improvement. Improvements in student learning have occurred as the result of assessment. Students seem to self-report improvements.	☐ Faculty and other important stakeholders reflect on the history and impact of previous plans, actions, and results, and participate in the development of recommendations for improvement. ☐ Continuous improvement in student learning occurs as the result of assessment. ☐ Outcomes and results are easily accessible to stakeholders on/from the program website.
				Assessment is integrated with teaching and learning.
Overall Rating	☐ Level 0 - Undeveloped	Level 1 - Developing Right on the cusp of mature!	Level 2 - Mature	Level 3 - Exemplary

COMMENTS

Thank you for completing the 2017 Student Learning Summary Report!

The outcomes listed here are clear and measurable, though because they are lumped together and results are reported for only two of them, it is difficult for me to tell which assessment method applies to each. I still would like to know more about these assignments so that I can see that they are appropriately aligned with the outcomes. Similarly, little information is provided about how the assignments are assessed or what the results, other than that students met established expectations for two of them. Did the licensure exam scores improve this past year?

In general, I would like to see more detail about what you are assessing and what the results specifically tell you about what students know and can do and don't know can't do. Provide evidence of your assertions (for example, when you report on the self-assessment, include the actual numbers that demonstrate the improvements you mention). I appreciate it that you are aligning standards and reworking rubrics, but this information would be more meaningful if it was tied to a particular outcome(s) whose assessment revealed a weakness that you are taking action to address.

Because we are gearing up for the Higher Learning Commission reaffirmation process, it is particularly important for us to be able to provide evidence that we are systematically assessing our curricular and co-curricular programs; using the information we derive from that process to develop actionable plans for improvement in student learning; and documenting the improvements that result. Finally, you should be aware that by fall 2018, all undergraduate programs are expected to include career readiness outcomes or activities. In your next Student Learning Summary Report, be sure to reference your efforts to help prepare students for their careers.