Program Outcomes Assessment

BFA in Fine Art

Created on: 08/20/2009 10:22:00 AM CST Last Modified: 03/24/2015 01:19:08 PM CST

Table of Contents

General Information	1
Standing Requirements	2
Mission Statement	2
Outcomes Library	2
Curriculum Map	5
Communication of Outcomes	6
Archive	7
Archive	7
2011-2012 Assessment Cycle	8
Assessment Plan	8
Assessment Findings	8
2012-2013 Assessment Cycle	9
Assessment Plan	9
Assessment Findings	11
Action Plan	15
Status Report	16
2013-2014 Assessment Cycle	17
Assessment Plan	17
Assessment Findings	25
Action Plan	37
Status Report	37
2014-2015 Assessment Cycle	39
Assessment Plan	39
Assessment Findings	39
Action Plan	
Status Report	39
2015-2016 Assessment Cycle	40
Assessment Plan	40
Assessment Findings	40

Action Plan	40
Status Report	40
2016-2017 Assessment Cycle	41
Assessment Plan	41
Assessment Findings	41
Action Plan	41
Status Report	41
2017-2018 Assessment Cycle	42
Assessment Plan	42
Assessment Findings	42
Action Plan	42
Status Report	42
2018-2019 Assessment Cycle	43
Assessment Plan	43
Assessment Findings	43
Action Plan	43
Status Report	43
2019-2020 Assessment Cycle	44
Assessment Plan	44
Assessment Findings	44
Action Plan	44
Status Report	
Appendix	45

Standing Requirements

Mission Statement

The Interdisciplinary Concentration in the Fine Art major gives the student the opportunity, under the guidance of his/her Academic Adviser, to create a concentration that blends a 2D studio medium with a 3D studio medium or combines studio media with Design. This option allows for greater flexibility, meets the specific needs of our students, and promotes excellence in the creation, understanding, appreciation of, and education in the visual arts.

Outcomes Library

BFA in Fine Art - 2-Dimensional Art Outcome Set

Obj. 1: Appreciation for the periods and styles of art

A thorough knowledge and appreciation for the periods and styles of art and the various and multifaceted social and cultural components that influenced them.

Outcome	Mapping
Outcome	rapping

Outcome 1.1: Major styles, periods, and philosophies of No Mapping

Identifies the major styles, periods, and philosophies of art and their relationship to the making of art [NASAD H.XI.C.2].

Obj. 2: Theoretical framework underpinning of 2-D Art

An understanding of the theoretical framework that is the underpinning of 2-Dimensional Art and the capacity to communicate it.

Outcome	Mapping
Outcome 2.1: Elements and vocabulary of art/design Understands the common elements and vocabulary of	No Mapping
art/design and of the interaction of these elements, and be able to employ this knowledge in analysis [NASAD H.VIII.B.2b]	
Outcome 2.2: Historical achievements, major issues,	No Mapping
Gains familiarity with the historical achievements, current major issues, processes and directions in art [NASAD H.VIII.B.1a.(3)]	
Outcome 2.3: Similarities, differences, and relationships	No Mapping
Demonstrates understanding of the similarities, differences, and relationships among the various 2D media and processes.	

Obj. 3: Advanced technical expertise in concentration(s)

An advanced level of technical expertise within the students' concentration.

Outcome	Mapping
Outcome 3.1: Conceives, designs, and creates works	No Mapping
Conceives, designs, and creates works in the chosen concentration [NASAD H.IX.h.3c]	
Outcome 3.2: Utilizes technologies and equipment	No Mapping

Utilizes technologies and equipment applicable to two-dimensional art [NASAD H.VIII.B.3]

Obj. 4: Ability to think conceptually and critically

The cognitive ability to think conceptually and critically, and to demonstrate these both visually and verbally.

Outcome	Mapping
Outcome 4.1: Knowledge of various aesthetic issues,	No Mapping
Displays knowledge of various aesthetic issues, processes, and media and their relationship to the conceptualization, development, and completion of works of art [NASAD H.IX.H.3d]	
Outcome 4.2: Works on a variety of 2-D art problems	No Mapping
Works independently on a variety of two-dimensional art problems by combining, as appropriate to the issue, their capabilities in studio, analysis, history, and technology [NASAD H.VIII.B4]	
Outcome 4.3: Analyzes works of two-dimensional art	Foundational Studies: 2. Critically evaluate the ideas of others.
Analyzes works of two-dimensional art perceptively and evaluates them critically [NASAD H.VIII.B.2a]	

BFA in Fine Art - 3-dimensional art outcome set

1: Knowledge of the periods and styles of art

A thorough knowledge and appreciation for the periods and styles of art and the various and multifaceted social and cultural components that influenced them.

Outcome	Mapping
1.1: Identifies the major styles, periods, and philosophies	No Mapping
Identifies the major styles, periods, and philosophies of art and their relationship to the making of art [NASAD H.VIII.B.2a].	

2: Understanding of the theoretical framework of 3-D art

An understanding of the theoretical framework that is the underpinning of 3-Dimensional Art and the capacity to communicate it.

Outcome	Mapping
2.1: Understands the common elements and vocabulary of art	No Mapping
Understands the common elements and vocabulary of art/design and of the interaction of these elements, and be able to employ this knowledge in analysis [NASAD H.VIII.B.2b]	
2.2: Gains familiarity with history, directions in 3D art	No Mapping
Gains familiarity with the historical achievements, current major issues, processes and directions in 3D art [NASAD H.VIII.B.1a. (3)]	
2.3: Understanding of relationships among various 3D media	No Mapping
Demonstrates understanding of the similarities, differences, and relationships among the various 3D media and processes [NASAD H.IX.R.3e/H.IX.A.3f]	

3: Advanced level of technical expertise

An advanced level of technical expertise within the students' concentration.

Outcome	Mapping
3.1: Conceives, designs, and creates works	No Mapping
Conceives, designs, and creates works in the chosen concentration [NASAD H.VIII.C.2]	
3.2: Utilizes technologies and equipment	No Mapping
Utilizes technologies and equipment applicable to three- dimensional art [NASAD H.IX.R.3d/H.IX.A3b]	

4: Ability to think conceptually and critically

The cognitive ability to think conceptually and critically, and to demonstrate these both visually and verbally.

Outcome	Mapping
4.1: Displays knowledge of various aesthetic issues	No Mapping
Displays knowledge of various aesthetic issues, processes, and media and their relationship to the conceptualization, development, and completion of works of art [NASAD H.IX.R.3a/H.IX.A.3a]	
4.2: Works independently on a variety of 3D art	No Mapping
Works independently on a variety of three-dimensional art problems by combining, as appropriate to the issue, their capabilities in studio, analysis, history, and technology [NASAD H.VIII.D1]	
4.3: Analyzes works of three-dimensional art	Foundational Studies: 2. Critically evaluate the ideas of
Analyzes works of three-dimensional art perceptively and evaluates them critically [NASAD H.VIII.B.2a]	others.

BFA in Fine Art - Graphic Design Outcome Set

1: Knowledge and appreciation for styles of art

A thorough knowledge and appreciation for the periods and styles of art and the various and multifaceted social and cultural components that influenced them.

Outcome	Mapping
$1.1\colon$ Knowledge of art/design history, theory, and criticism	No Mapping
Displays knowledge of art/design history, theory, and criticism from a variety of perspectives, including those of art history, linguistics, communication and information theory, technology, and the social and cultural use of design objects (NASAD H.IX.J.3e)	

2: Understanding of the theoretical framework

An understanding of the theoretical framework that is the underpinning of 2-Dimensional Art and the capacity to communicate it.

Outcome	Mapping
2.1: Demonstrates principles of visual organization	No Mapping
Demonstrates an understanding of principles of visual organization and composition. and develops solutions in response to communication problems (NASAD H.IX.J.3.c)	
2.2: Understands the common elements/vocabulary of art	No Mapping
Demonstrates the interaction between common elements and vocabulary of art and design in an analysis. (NASAD H.VIII.B.2b)	
2.3: Demonstrates understanding/choices of areas of	No Mapping

interest

Demonstrates understanding/choices of areas of individual interest related to the concentration. (NASAD H.VIII.D.4)

3: Advanced level of technical expertise

An advanced level of technical expertise within the students' concentration.

Outcome	Mapping
3.1: Acquires working knowledge of technologies	No Mapping
Acquires working knowledge of technologies and equipment applicable to their concentration (NASAD H.VIII.B.3)	
3.2: Understands use of tools and technology	No Mapping
Demonstrates appropriate use of tools and technology in the creation, reproduction and distribution of visual messages (NASAD H.IX.J.3d)	

4: Ability to think conceptually and critically

The cognitive ability to think conceptually and critically, and to demonstrate these both visually and verbally.

Outcome	Mapping		
4.1: Develops ability to solve problems	No Mapping		
Develops ability to solve communication problems, including skills of problem identification, research and information gathering, analysis, process and evaluation of outcomes (NASAD H.IX.J.3a)			
4.2: Ability to communicate factors which shape design	Foundational Studies: 10. Express themselves effectively, professionally, and persuasively both orally and in writing.		
Displays ability to communicate the factors which shape design decisions (NASAD H.IX.J.3b)			
4.3: Analyzes works of design and evaluates them	Foundational Studies: 2. Critically evaluate the ideas of		
Analyzes works of design perceptively and evaluates them critically (H.VIII.B.2a)	others.		
4.4: Work that demonstrates perceptual acuity	No Mapping		
Presents work that demonstrates perceptual acuity, conceptual understanding, and technical facility at a professional entry leve (NASAD H.VIII.Ba.2)	I		

& Curriculum Map

Active Curriculum Maps

BFA in 2-Dimensional Art Curriculum Map (See appendix)

Alignment Set: BFA in Fine Art - 2-Dimensional Art Outcome Set

Created: 02/14/2012 8:04:29 am CST **Last Modified:** 02/14/2012 8:08:55 am CST

BFA in 3-dimensional art curriculum map (See appendix)

Alignment Set: BFA in Fine Art - 3-dimensional art outcome set

Created: 03/20/2012 9:39:28 am CST **Last Modified:** 04/04/2012 2:50:37 pm CST

Graphic Design Cirriculum Map (See appendix)

Alignment Set: BFA in Fine Art - Graphic Design Outcome Set

Created: 04/16/2012 1:59:47 pm CST **Last Modified:** 04/16/2012 2:02:36 pm CST

© Communication of Outcomes

Student learning outcomes and the program's assessment plan are posted on the department's web page

http://www.indstate.edu/art-dept/programs.htm

and on the program's web page

http://www.indstate.edu/art-dept/areas_of_study/photographyUndergraduate.htm

Archive (This area is to be used for archiving pre-TaskStream assessment data and for current documents.)



File Attachments:

1. 2-D BFA Assessment Plan Spring 2012.pdf (See appendix)

2011-2012 Assessment Cycle

◈	Ass	ess	me	nt	P	lan
---	-----	-----	----	----	---	-----

Outcomes and Measures

Assessment Findings

Finding per Measure

Overall Recommendations

No text specified

Overall Reflection

No text specified

2012-2013 Assessment Cycle

Assessment Plan

Outcomes and Measures

BFA in Fine Art - 2-Dimensional Art Outcome Set

Obj. 1: Appreciation for the periods and styles of art

A thorough knowledge and appreciation for the periods and styles of art and the various and multifaceted social and cultural components that influenced them.

Outcome 1.1: Major styles, periods, and philosophies of art

Identifies the major styles, periods, and philosophies of art and their relationship to the making of art [NASAD H.XI.C.2].

 Measure: Department of Art Graduating Survey question Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Question IX.1

Survey given at graduation

Target: 75% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester Responsible Individual(s): Dept. of Art Assessment Coordinator

 Measure: Department of Art Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Art Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Obj. 2: Theoretical framework underpinning of 2-D Art

An understanding of the theoretical framework that is the underpinning of 2-Dimensional Art and the capacity to communicate it.

Outcome 2.1: Elements and vocabulary of art/design

Understands the common elements and vocabulary of art/design and of the interaction of these elements, and be able to employ this knowledge in analysis [NASAD H.VIII.B.2b] Measure: Department of Art Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Art Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Measure: Visual/Verbal test

Direct - Exam

Details/Description: Multiple choice vocabulary exam

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, students take it 3 times during their program (1st

semester, midpoint, final semester)

Responsible Individual(s): Art Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Outcome 2.3: Similarities, differences, and relationships

Demonstrates understanding of the similarities, differences, and relationships among the various 2D media and processes. Measure: Department of Art Graduating Survey question Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Questions IX.3, X.1

Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester Responsible Individual(s): Dept. of Art Assessment Coordinator

Obj. 3: Advanced technical expertise in concentration(s)

An advanced level of technical expertise within the students' concentration.

Outcome 3.1: Conceives, designs, and creates works

Conceives, designs, and creates works in the chosen concentration [NASAD H.IX.h.3c]

 Measure: Department of Art Graduating Survey question Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Question X.2

Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester Responsible Individual(s): Dept. of Art Assessment Coordinator

Outcome 3.2: Utilizes technologies and equipment

Utilizes technologies and equipment applicable to two-dimensional art [NASAD H.VIII.B.3]

 Measure: Department of Art Graduating Survey questions Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Questions IX.3, X.1

Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester Responsible Individual(s): Dept. of Art Assessment Coordinator

Obj. 4: Ability to think conceptually and critically

The cognitive ability to think conceptually and critically, and to demonstrate these both visually and verbally.

Outcome 4.3: Analyzes works of two-dimensional art

Analyzes works of twodimensional art perceptively and evaluates them critically [NASAD H.VIII.B.2a] Measure: Department of Art Graduating Survey question Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Question X.3

Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester Responsible Individual(s): Dept. of Art Assessment Coordinator

Measure: Department of Art Writing Comparison Assessment

Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Art Dept. Assessment Coordinator

BFA in Fine Art - 3-dimensional art outcome set

No students in program in 2012-13.

No students were enrolled in 3-D program in 2012-13. No data collected

No measures specified

BFA in Fine Art - Graphic Design Outcome Set

?No students enrolled in graphic design in 2012-13

No students were enrolled in the graphic design program in 2012-13.

There were no students enrolled in the graphic design program in 2012-13. Therefore, no data were collected.

No measures specified

Assessment Findings

Finding per Measure

BFA in Fine Art - 2-Dimensional Art Outcome Set

Obj. 1: Appreciation for the periods and styles of art

A thorough knowledge and appreciation for the periods and styles of art and the various and multifaceted social and cultural components that influenced them.

Outcome 1.1: Major styles, periods, and philosophies of art

Identifies the major styles, periods, and philosophies of art and their relationship to the making of art [NASAD H.XI.C.2].

 Measure: Department of Art Graduating Survey question Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Question IX.1

Survey given at graduation

Target: 75% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester Responsible Individual(s): Dept. of Art Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art Graduating Survey question

Summary of Findings: Findings indicate target was exceeded.

Results: Target Achievement: Exceeded

Recommendations: Reflections/Notes:

▼ Measure: Department of Art Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Art Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art Writing Comparison Assessment

Summary of Findings: Findings indicate target was exceeded.

Results: Target Achievement: Exceeded

Recommendations: Reflections/Notes:

Obj. 2: Theoretical framework underpinning of 2-D Art

An understanding of the theoretical framework that is the underpinning of 2-Dimensional Art and the capacity to communicate it.

Outcome 2.1: Elements and vocabulary of art/design

Understands the common elements and vocabulary of art/design and of the interaction of these elements, and be able to employ this knowledge in analysis [NASAD H.VIII.B.2b] Measure: Department of Art Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Art Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art Writing Comparison Assessment

Summary of Findings: Findings indicate target was exceeded.

Results: Target Achievement: Exceeded

Recommendations : Reflections/Notes :

▼ Measure: Visual/Verbal test

Direct - Exam

Details/Description: Multiple choice vocabulary exam

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, students take it 3 times during their program (1st

semester, midpoint, final semester)

Responsible Individual(s): Art Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Visual/Verbal test

Summary of Findings: Findings indicate target was exceeded.

Results: Target Achievement: Exceeded

Recommendations : Reflections/Notes :

Outcome 2.3: Similarities, differences, and relationships

Demonstrates understanding of the similarities, differences, and relationships among the various 2D media and processes. ▼ Measure: Department of Art Graduating Survey question Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Questions IX.3, X.1

Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester Responsible Individual(s): Dept. of Art Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art Graduating Survey question

Summary of Findings: Findings indicate target was exceeded.

Results: Target Achievement: Exceeded

Recommendations : Reflections/Notes :

Obj. 3: Advanced technical expertise in concentration(s)

An advanced level of technical expertise within the students' concentration.

Outcome 3.1: Conceives, designs, and creates works

Conceives, designs, and creates works in the chosen concentration [NASAD H.IX.h.3c]

 Measure: Department of Art Graduating Survey question Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Question X.2

Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester Responsible Individual(s): Dept. of Art Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art Graduating Survey question

Summary of Findings: Findings indicate target was exceeded.

Results: Target Achievement: Exceeded

Recommendations : Reflections/Notes :

Outcome 3.2: Utilizes technologies and equipment

Utilizes technologies and equipment applicable to two-dimensional art [NASAD H.VIII.B.3]

Measure: Department of Art Graduating Survey questions
 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Questions IX.3, X.1

Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester Responsible Individual(s): Dept. of Art Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art Graduating Survey questions

Summary of Findings: Findings indicate target was exceeded.

Results: Target Achievement: Exceeded

Recommendations: Reflections/Notes:

Obj. 4: Ability to think conceptually and critically

The cognitive ability to think conceptually and critically, and to demonstrate these both visually and verbally.

Outcome 4.3: Analyzes works of twodimensional art

Analyzes works of twodimensional art perceptively and evaluates them critically [NASAD H.VIII.B.2a] Measure: Department of Art Graduating Survey question Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Question X.3

Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester Responsible Individual(s): Dept. of Art Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art Graduating Survey question

Summary of Findings: Findings indicate target was exceeded.

Results: Target Achievement: Exceeded

Recommendations : Reflections/Notes :

 Measure: Department of Art Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Art Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art Writing Comparison Assessment

Summary of Findings: Findings indicate target was exceeded.

Results: Target Achievement: Exceeded

Recommendations : Reflections/Notes :

BFA in Fine Art - 3-dimensional art outcome set

No students in program in 2012-13.

No students were enrolled in 3-D program in 2012-13. No data collected No measures specified

BFA in Fine Art - Graphic Design Outcome Set

?No students enrolled in graphic design in 2012-13

No students were enrolled in the graphic design program in 2012-13.

There were no students enrolled in the graphic design program in 2012-13. Therefore, no data No measures specified

Overall Recommendations

No text specified

were collected.

Overall Reflection

No text specified

Action Plan

Actions

2-D Action Plan

Outcome

2012-13 Action Plan for 2-D Concentration

Action: Continue to monitor outcome achievement

This Action is associated with the following Findings

No supporting Findings have been linked to this Action.

Action Details: Findings indicate that targets for all measures were exceeded. Continue to monitor and implement full assessment plan in 2013-14.

Implementation Plan (timeline): 2013-14

Key/Responsible Personnel: Program Director and Art Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Measures: Plan implemented and trends monitored

Resource Allocations: None

Priority: High

Status Report

Action Statuses

2-D Action Plan

Outcome

2012-13 Action Plan for 2-D Concentration

Action: Continue to monitor outcome achievement

Action Details: Findings indicate that targets for all measures were exceeded. Continue to monitor and implement full assessment plan in 2013-14.

Implementation Plan (timeline): 2013-14

Key/Responsible Personnel: Program Director and Art Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Measures: Plan implemented and trends monitored

Resource Allocations: None

Priority: High

Status for Continue to monitor outcome achievement

No Status Added

Status Summary

No text specified

Summary of Next Steps

No text specified

2013-2014 Assessment Cycle

Assessment Plan

Outcomes and Measures

BFA in Fine Art - 2-Dimensional Art Outcome Set

Obj. 1: Appreciation for the periods and styles of art

A thorough knowledge and appreciation for the periods and styles of art and the various and multifaceted social and cultural components that influenced them.

Outcome 1.1: Major styles, periods, and philosophies of art

Identifies the major styles, periods, and philosophies of art and their relationship to the making of art [NASAD H.XI.C.2].

Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question
 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 75% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Obj. 2: Theoretical framework underpinning of 2-D Art

An understanding of the theoretical framework that is the underpinning of 2-Dimensional Art and the capacity to communicate it.

Outcome 2.1: Elements and vocabulary of art/design

Understands the common elements and vocabulary of art/design and of the interaction of these elements, and be able to employ this knowledge in analysis [NASAD H.VIII.B.2b] Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Annually

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

▼ Measure: Visual/Verbal test

Direct - Exam

Details/Description: Multiple choice vocabulary exam

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, students take it 3 times during their program (1st

semester, midpoint, final semester)

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Outcome 2.2: Historical achievements, major issues,

Gains familiarity with the historical achievements, current major issues, processes and directions in art [NASAD H.VIII.B.1a.(3)] Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Measure: Mid point review

Direct - Portfolio

Details/Description: Portfolio/paper review **Target:** 50% score "very good" (5) or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): every Spring semester

Responsible Individual(s): 2-D Studio Art Faculty

Outcome 2.3: Similarities, differences, and relationships

Demonstrates understanding of the similarities, differences, and relationships among the various 2D media and processes. Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey questions IX.3, X.1
 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Obj. 3: Advanced technical expertise in concentration(s)

An advanced level of technical expertise within the students' concentration.

Outcome 3.1: Conceives, designs, and creates works

Conceives, designs, and creates works in the chosen concentration [NASAD H.IX.h.3c]

 Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork

Target: 90% receive "very good" (5) or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): End of final semester Responsible Individual(s): 2-D Studio Art Faculty

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question X.2 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Outcome 3.2: Utilizes technologies and equipment

■ Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey questions IX.3, X.1 Indirect - Survey Utilizes technologies and equipment applicable to two-dimensional art [NASAD H.VIII.B.3]

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Obj. 4: Ability to think conceptually and critically

The cognitive ability to think conceptually and critically, and to demonstrate these both visually and verbally.

Outcome 4.1: Knowledge of various aesthetic issues,

Displays knowledge of various aesthetic issues, processes, and media and their relationship to the conceptualization, development, and completion of works of art [NASAD H.IX.H.3d]

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork

Target: 90% receive "very good" (5) or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): End of final semester Responsible Individual(s): 2-D Studio Art Faculty

Outcome 4.2: Works on a variety of 2-D art problems

Works independently on a variety of two-dimensional art problems by combining, as appropriate to the issue, their capabilities in studio, analysis, history, and technology [NASAD H.VIII.B4]

 Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork

Target: 90% receive "very good" (5) or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): End of final semester Responsible Individual(s): 2-D Studio Art Faculty

Outcome 4.3: Analyzes works of twodimensional art

Analyzes works of twodimensional art perceptively and evaluates them critically [NASAD H.VIII.B.2a] Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question X.3
 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

 Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

BFA in Fine Art - 3-dimensional art outcome set

1: Knowledge of the periods and styles of art

A thorough knowledge and appreciation for the periods and styles of art and the various and multifaceted social and cultural components that influenced them.

1.1: Identifies the major styles, periods, and philosophies

Identifies the major styles, periods, and philosophies of art and their relationship to the making of art [NASAD H.VIII.B.2a].

■ Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question [IX.1] Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: Average of Scores for 3D Students Surveyed = 4 or above **Implementation Plan (timeline):** Yearly, at end of final semester **Responsible Individual(s):** Dept. Assessment Coordinator

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

2: Understanding of the theoretical framework of 3-D art

An understanding of the theoretical framework that is the underpinning of 3-Dimensional Art and the capacity to communicate it.

2.1: Understands the common elements and vocabulary of art

Understands the common elements and vocabulary of art/design and of the interaction of these elements, and be able to employ this knowledge in analysis [NASAD H.VIII.B.2b]

 Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

▼ Measure: Visual/Verbal test Direct - Exam

Details/Description: : Multiple choice vocabulary exam

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, students take it 3 times during their program (1st

semester, midpoint, final semester)

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

2.2: Gains familiarity with history, directions in 3D art

Gains familiarity with the historical achievements, current major issues, processes and directions in 3D art [NASAD H.VIII.B.1a. (3)] Measure: Mid point review Direct - Portfolio

Details/Description: Portfolio/paper review **Target:** Average of Scores = 3.7 or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): every Spring semester Responsible Individual(s): Assessment Committee

2.3: Understanding of relationships among various 3D media

 Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Demonstrates

understanding of the similarities, differences, and relationships among the various 3D media and processes [NASAD H.IX.R.3e/H.IX.A.3f]

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork **Target:** Average of Scores for 3D Students = 4.7 or above **Implementation Plan (timeline):** End of final semester **Responsible Individual(s):** 3-D Studio Art Faculty

Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question [IX.3]
 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: Average of Scores for 3D Students Surveyed = 4 or above **Implementation Plan (timeline):** Yearly, at end of final semester **Responsible Individual(s):** Dept. Assessment Coordinator

3: Advanced level of technical expertise

An advanced level of technical expertise within the students' concentration.

3.1: Conceives, designs, and creates works

Conceives, designs, and creates works in the chosen concentration [NASAD H.VIII.C.2]

 Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork **Target:** Average of Scores for 3D Students = 4.7 or above **Implementation Plan (timeline):** End of final semester **Responsible Individual(s):** 3-D Studio Art Faculty

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey questions [BS & BFA in Studio Art.2] Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: Average of Scores for 3D Students Surveyed = 4 or above Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

3.2: Utilizes technologies and equipment

Utilizes technologies and equipment applicable to three-dimensional art [NASAD H.IX.A3b]

■ Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question [BS & BFA in Studio Art.1] Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: Average of Scores for 3D Students Surveyed = 4 or above **Implementation Plan (timeline):** Yearly, at end of final semester **Responsible Individual(s):** Dept. Assessment Coordinator

4: Ability to think conceptually and critically

The cognitive ability to think conceptually and critically, and to demonstrate these both visually and verbally.

4.1: Displays knowledge of various aesthetic issues

Displays knowledge of

 Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact various aesthetic issues, processes, and media and their relationship to the conceptualization, development, and completion of works of art [NASAD H.IX.R.3a/H.IX.A.3a]

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork **Target:** Average of Scores for 3D Students = 4.7 or above **Implementation Plan (timeline):** End of final semester **Responsible Individual(s):** 3-D Studio Art Faculty

4.2: Works independently on a variety of 3D art

Works independently on a variety of threedimensional art problems by combining, as appropriate to the issue, their capabilities in studio, analysis, history, and technology [NASAD H.VIII.D1] Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork **Target:** Average of Scores for 3D Students = 4.7 or above **Implementation Plan (timeline):** End of final semester **Responsible Individual(s):** 3-D Studio Art Faculty

4.3: Analyzes works of three-dimensional art

Analyzes works of threedimensional art perceptively and evaluates them critically [NASAD H.VIII.B.2a] Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question [IX.4]
 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: Average of Scores for 3D Students Surveyed = 4 or above **Implementation Plan (timeline):** Yearly, at end of final semester **Responsible Individual(s):** Dept. Assessment Coordinator

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

BFA in Fine Art - Graphic Design Outcome Set

1: Knowledge and appreciation for styles of art

A thorough knowledge and appreciation for the periods and styles of art and the various and multifaceted social and cultural components that influenced them.

1.1: Knowledge of art/design history, theory, and criticism

Displays knowledge of art/design history, theory, and criticism from a variety of perspectives, including those of art history, linguistics, communication and information theory, technology, and the social and cultural use of design objects (NASAD H.IX.J.3e)

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 75% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

2: Understanding of the theoretical framework

An understanding of the theoretical framework that is the underpinning of 2-Dimensional Art and the capacity to communicate it.

2.1: Demonstrates principles of visual organization

Demonstrates an understanding of principles of visual organization and composition. and develops solutions in response to communication problems (NASAD H.IX.J.3.c)

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

▼ Measure: Visual/Verbal test

Direct - Exam

Details/Description: Multiple choice vocabulary exam

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, students take it 3 times during their program (1st

semester, midpoint, final semester)

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

2.2: Understands the common elements/vocabulary of art

Demonstrates the interaction between common elements and vocabulary of art and design in an analysis. (NASAD H.VIII.B.2b)

▼ Measure: Mid point review

Direct - Other

Details/Description: Portfolio/paper review

Target: 50% score 4 or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): every Spring semester Responsible Individual(s): Assessment Committee

2.3: Demonstrates understanding/choices of areas of interest

Demonstrates understanding/choices of areas of individual interest related to the concentration. (NASAD H.VIII.D.4) Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question
 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

3: Advanced level of technical expertise

An advanced level of technical expertise within the students' concentration.

3.1: Acquires working knowledge of technologies

 Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Acquires working

knowledge of technologies and equipment applicable to their concentration (NASAD H.VIII.B.3) Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork

Target: 90% receive "very good" (5) or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): End of final semester Responsible Individual(s): 2-D Studio Art Faculty

Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey questions
 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

3.2: Understands use of tools and technology

Demonstrates appropriate use of tools and technology in the creation, reproduction and distribution of visual messages (NASAD H.IX.J.3d)

Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question
 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

4: Ability to think conceptually and critically

The cognitive ability to think conceptually and critically, and to demonstrate these both visually and verbally.

4.1: Develops ability to solve problems

Develops ability to solve communication problems, including skills of problem identification, research and information gathering, analysis, process and evaluation of outcomes (NASAD H.IX.J.3a)

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork

Target: 90% receive "very good" (5) or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): End of final semester Responsible Individual(s): Graphic Design Faculty

4.2: Ability to communicate factors which shape design

Displays ability to communicate the factors which shape design decisions (NASAD H.IX.J.3b) Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork

Target: 90% receive "very good" (5) or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): End of final semester Responsible Individual(s): Graphic Design Faculty

4.3: Analyzes works of design and evaluates them

Analyzes works of design perceptively and evaluates them critically (H.VIII.B.2a) Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey questions
 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

 Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Assessment Findings

Finding per Measure

BFA in Fine Art - 2-Dimensional Art Outcome Set

Obj. 1: Appreciation for the periods and styles of art

A thorough knowledge and appreciation for the periods and styles of art and the various and multifaceted social and cultural components that influenced them.

Outcome 1.1: Major styles, periods, and philosophies of art

Identifies the major styles, periods, and philosophies of art and their relationship to the making of art [NASAD H.XI.C.2].

Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question
 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 75% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question

No Findings Added

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment

No Findings Added

Obj. 2: Theoretical framework underpinning of 2-D Art

An understanding of the theoretical framework that is the underpinning of 2-Dimensional Art and the capacity to communicate it.

Outcome 2.1: Elements and vocabulary of art/design

Understands the common elements and vocabulary of art/design and of the interaction of these elements, and be able to employ this knowledge in analysis [NASAD H.VIII.B.2b]

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Annually

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment

No Findings Added

Measure: Visual/Verbal test

Direct - Exam

Details/Description: Multiple choice vocabulary exam

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, students take it 3 times during their program (1st

semester, midpoint, final semester)

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Visual/Verbal test

No Findings Added

Outcome 2.2: Historical achievements, major issues,

Gains familiarity with the historical achievements, current major issues, processes and directions in art [NASAD H.VIII.B.1a.(3)] Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment

No Findings Added

Measure: Mid point review

Direct - Portfolio

Details/Description: Portfolio/paper review **Target:** 50% score "very good" (5) or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): every Spring semester

Responsible Individual(s): 2-D Studio Art Faculty

Findings for Mid point review

No Findings Added

Outcome 2.3: Similarities, differences, and relationships

Demonstrates understanding of the similarities, differences, and relationships among the various 2D media and processes. ▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey questions IX.3, X.1 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey questions IX.3, X.1

No Findings Added

Obj. 3: Advanced technical expertise in concentration(s)

An advanced level of technical expertise within the students' concentration.

Outcome 3.1: Conceives, designs, and creates works

Conceives, designs, and creates works in the chosen concentration [NASAD H.IX.h.3c]

 Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork

Target: 90% receive "very good" (5) or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): End of final semester Responsible Individual(s): 2-D Studio Art Faculty

Findings for Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment

No Findings Added

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question X.2 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question X.2

No Findings Added

Outcome 3.2: Utilizes technologies and equipment

Utilizes technologies and equipment applicable to two-dimensional art [NASAD H.VIII.B.3]

Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey questions IX.3, X.1 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey questions IX.3, X.1

No Findings Added

Obj. 4: Ability to think conceptually and critically

The cognitive ability to think conceptually and critically, and to demonstrate these both visually and verbally.

Outcome 4.1: Knowledge of various aesthetic issues,

Displays knowledge of various aesthetic issues, processes, and media and their relationship to the conceptualization, development, and completion of works of art [NASAD H.IX.H.3d]

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork

Target: 90% receive "very good" (5) or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): End of final semester Responsible Individual(s): 2-D Studio Art Faculty

Findings for Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment

No Findings Added

Outcome 4.2: Works on a variety of 2-D art problems

Works independently on a variety of two-dimensional art problems by combining, as appropriate to the issue, their capabilities in studio, analysis, history, and technology [NASAD H.VIII.B4]

 Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork

Target: 90% receive "very good" (5) or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): End of final semester Responsible Individual(s): 2-D Studio Art Faculty

Findings for Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment

No Findings Added

Outcome 4.3: Analyzes works of twodimensional art

Analyzes works of twodimensional art perceptively and evaluates them critically [NASAD H.VIII.B.2a] Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question X.3 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question X.3

No Findings Added

 Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment

No Findings Added

BFA in Fine Art - 3-dimensional art outcome set

1: Knowledge of the periods and styles of art

A thorough knowledge and appreciation for the periods and styles of art and the various and multifaceted social and cultural components that influenced them.

1.1: Identifies the major styles, periods, and philosophies

Identifies the major styles, periods, and philosophies of art and their relationship to the making of art [NASAD H.VIII.B.2a].

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question [IX.1] Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: Average of Scores for 3D Students Surveyed = 4 or above **Implementation Plan (timeline):** Yearly, at end of final semester **Responsible Individual(s):** Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question [IX.1]

No Findings Added

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment

No Findings Added

2: Understanding of the theoretical framework of 3-D art

An understanding of the theoretical framework that is the underpinning of 3-Dimensional Art and the capacity to communicate it.

2.1: Understands the common elements and vocabulary of art

Understands the common elements and vocabulary of art/design and of the interaction of these elements, and be able to employ this knowledge in analysis [NASAD H.VIII.B.2b]

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment

No Findings Added

Measure: Visual/Verbal test

Direct - Exam

Details/Description: : Multiple choice vocabulary exam

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, students take it 3 times during their program (1st

semester, midpoint, final semester)

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Visual/Verbal test

No Findings Added

2.2: Gains familiarity with history, directions in 3D art

Gains familiarity with the historical achievements, current major issues, processes and directions in 3D art [NASAD H.VIII.B.1a. (3)]

Measure: Mid point review

Direct - Portfolio

Details/Description: Portfolio/paper review **Target:** Average of Scores = 3.7 or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): every Spring semester Responsible Individual(s): Assessment Committee

Findings for Mid point review

No Findings Added

2.3: Understanding of relationships among various 3D media

Demonstrates understanding of the similarities, differences, and relationships among the various 3D media and processes [NASAD H.IX.R.3e/H.IX.A.3f] Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork **Target:** Average of Scores for 3D Students = 4.7 or above **Implementation Plan (timeline):** End of final semester **Responsible Individual(s):** 3-D Studio Art Faculty

Findings for Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment

No Findings Added

Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question [IX.3]
 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: Average of Scores for 3D Students Surveyed = 4 or above **Implementation Plan (timeline):** Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question [IX.3]

No Findings Added

3: Advanced level of technical expertise

An advanced level of technical expertise within the students' concentration.

3.1: Conceives, designs, and creates works

Conceives, designs, and creates works in the chosen concentration [NASAD H.VIII.C.2]

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork **Target:** Average of Scores for 3D Students = 4.7 or above **Implementation Plan (timeline):** End of final semester **Responsible Individual(s):** 3-D Studio Art Faculty

Findings for Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment

No Findings Added

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey questions [BS & BFA in Studio Art.2] Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: Average of Scores for 3D Students Surveyed = 4 or above Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey questions [BS & BFA in Studio Art.2]

No Findings Added

3.2: Utilizes technologies and equipment

Utilizes technologies and equipment applicable to three-dimensional art [NASAD H.IX.R.3d/H.IX.A3b]

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question [BS & BFA in Studio Art.1] Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: Average of Scores for 3D Students Surveyed = 4 or above **Implementation Plan (timeline):** Yearly, at end of final semester **Responsible Individual(s):** Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question [BS & BFA in Studio Art.1]

No Findings Added

4: Ability to think conceptually and critically

The cognitive ability to think conceptually and critically, and to demonstrate these both visually and verbally.

4.1: Displays knowledge of various aesthetic issues

Displays knowledge of various aesthetic issues, processes, and media and their relationship to the conceptualization, development, and completion of works of art [NASAD H.IX.R.3a/H.IX.A.3a]

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork **Target:** Average of Scores for 3D Students = 4.7 or above **Implementation Plan (timeline):** End of final semester **Responsible Individual(s):** 3-D Studio Art Faculty

Findings for Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment

No Findings Added

4.2: Works independently on a variety of 3D art

Works independently on a variety of threedimensional art problems by combining, as appropriate to the issue, their capabilities in studio, analysis, history, and technology [NASAD H.VIII.D1]

Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork **Target:** Average of Scores for 3D Students = 4.7 or above **Implementation Plan (timeline):** End of final semester **Responsible Individual(s):** 3-D Studio Art Faculty

Findings for Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment

No Findings Added

4.3: Analyzes works of three-dimensional art

Analyzes works of threedimensional art perceptively and evaluates them critically [NASAD H.VIII.B.2a] Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question [IX.4]
 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: Average of Scores for 3D Students Surveyed = 4 or above **Implementation Plan (timeline):** Yearly, at end of final semester **Responsible Individual(s):** Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question [IX.4]

No Findings Added

Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment

No Findings Added

BFA in Fine Art - Graphic Design Outcome Set

1: Knowledge and appreciation for styles of art

A thorough knowledge and appreciation for the periods and styles of art and the various and multifaceted social and cultural components that influenced them.

1.1: Knowledge of art/design history, theory, and criticism

Displays knowledge of art/design history, theory, and criticism from a variety of perspectives, including those of art history, linguistics, communication and information theory, technology, and the social and cultural use of design objects (NASAD H.IX.J.3e)

 Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 75% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question

No Findings Added

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment

No Findings Added

2: Understanding of the theoretical framework

An understanding of the theoretical framework that is the underpinning of 2-Dimensional Art and the capacity to communicate it.

2.1: Demonstrates principles of visual organization

Demonstrates an understanding of principles of visual organization and composition. and develops solutions in response to communication problems (NASAD H.IX.J.3.c)

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment

No Findings Added

Measure: Visual/Verbal test

Direct - Exam

Details/Description: Multiple choice vocabulary exam

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, students take it 3 times during their program (1st

semester, midpoint, final semester)

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Visual/Verbal test

No Findings Added

2.2: Understands the common elements/vocabulary of art

Demonstrates the interaction between common elements and vocabulary of art and design in an analysis. (NASAD H.VIII.B.2b)

Measure: Mid point review

Direct - Other

Details/Description: Portfolio/paper review

Target: 50% score 4 or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): every Spring semester Responsible Individual(s): Assessment Committee

Findings for Mid point review

No Findings Added

2.3: Demonstrates understanding/choices of areas of interest

Demonstrates understanding/choices of areas of individual interest related to the concentration. (NASAD H.VIII.D.4) Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question
 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question

No Findings Added

3: Advanced level of technical expertise

An advanced level of technical expertise within the students' concentration.

3.1: Acquires working knowledge of technologies

Acquires working knowledge of technologies and equipment applicable to their concentration (NASAD H.VIII.B.3) Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork

Target: 90% receive "very good" (5) or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): End of final semester Responsible Individual(s): 2-D Studio Art Faculty

Findings for Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment

No Findings Added

Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey questions
 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey questions

No Findings Added

3.2: Understands use of tools and technology

Demonstrates appropriate use of tools and technology in the creation, reproduction and distribution of visual messages (NASAD H.IX.J.3d)

Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question
 Indirect - Survey

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey question

No Findings Added

4: Ability to think conceptually and critically

The cognitive ability to think conceptually and critically, and to demonstrate these both visually and verbally.

4.1: Develops ability to solve problems

Develops ability to solve communication problems, including skills of problem identification, research and information gathering, analysis, process and evaluation of outcomes (NASAD H.IX.J.3a)

 Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork

Target: 90% receive "very good" (5) or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): End of final semester Responsible Individual(s): Graphic Design Faculty

Findings for Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment

No Findings Added

4.2: Ability to communicate factors which shape design

Displays ability to

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment Direct - Student Artifact communicate the factors which shape design decisions (NASAD H.IX.J.3b)

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork

Target: 90% receive "very good" (5) or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): End of final semester Responsible Individual(s): Graphic Design Faculty

Findings for Department of Art and Design BFA Exhibit Assessment

No Findings Added

4.3: Analyzes works of design and evaluates them

▼ Measure: Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey questions Indirect - Survey

Analyzes works of design perceptively and evaluates them critically (H.VIII.B.2a)

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Graduating Survey questions

No Findings Added

 Measure: Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individual(s): Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Findings for Department of Art and Design Writing Comparison Assessment

No Findings Added

Overall Recommendations

No text specified

Overall Reflection

The Department is currently working through its reaccreditation from the National Association of Schools of Art and Design (NASAD). One of the noncompliance issues cited by NASAD was the lack of a portfolio review that evaluates students' work before they advance to upper-level specializations. At a department retreat meeting in anticipation of the Fall 2014 semester, the faculty recognized that making a portfolio requirement was an opportunity to create meaningful assessment tools. It was the opinion of faculty members that except for some select measures, and the measures pertaining to the Art Education program, that the assessment tools were not yielding any relevant data. One faculty member summarized the inadequacy of tools and data collected, saying "the department has never made a change based on assessment findings." All faculty members agreed that assessment had become about going through the motions and relying on one faculty member knowledgeable about assessment to create passable collections of data and reports. All acknowledged that we have an opportunity to make meaningful tools that can work for individual professors.

Action Plan

Actions

Action Plan

Outcome

Action Plan 2013-14

Action: Implement portfolio review

This Action is associated with the following Findings

No supporting Findings have been linked to this Action.

Action Details: The Department of Art and Design expects to implement a portfolio review process that will be introduced to students in the 2015-16 academic year. We expect to use this portfolio review as a means for collecting assessment data, thus maximizing the value of the review effort. While details will be worked out during this academic year, we believe that our portfolio review process will take place during the fourth semester of a "typical" full-time student's baccalaureate education, and upon entry to the program for transfer students. We expect that the portfolio review process will offer an opportunity to communicate with students about and further differentiate our BFA and BS programs. We also expect that this portfolio process will be digitized within the next few years and that we will use TaskStream or similar software for this collection of portfolio (and assessment data). Our department's Assessment Committee, Nancy Nichols-Pethick, Lloyd Benjamin and Brad Venable have been working with Ruth Cain to plan the portfolio review process, keeping in mind how it can be leveraged for learning assessment.

While our assessment data for the MA and MFA programs is relatively straightforward, we expect to reconfigure some of the tools so that they align with well-established student reviews and milestones.

Implementation Plan (timeline): 2015-16 academic year

Key/Responsible Personnel:

Measures:

Resource Allocations:

Priority: Medium

Status Report

Action Statuses

Action Plan

Outcome

Action Plan 2013-14

Action: Implement portfolio review

Action Details: The Department of Art and Design expects to implement a portfolio review process that will be introduced to students in the 2015-16 academic year. We expect to use this portfolio review as a means for collecting assessment data, thus maximizing the value of the review effort. While details will be worked out during this academic year, we believe that our portfolio review process will take place during the fourth semester of a "typical" full-time student's baccalaureate education, and upon entry to the program for transfer students. We expect that the portfolio review process will offer an opportunity to communicate with students about and further differentiate our BFA and BS programs. We also expect that this portfolio process will be digitized within the next few

years and that we will use TaskStream or similar software for this collection of portfolio (and assessment data). Our department's Assessment Committee, Nancy Nichols-Pethick, Lloyd Benjamin and Brad Venable have been working with Ruth Cain to plan the portfolio review process, keeping in mind how it can be leveraged for learning assessment.

While our assessment data for the MA and MFA programs is relatively straightforward, we expect to reconfigure some of the tools so that they align with well-established student reviews and milestones.

Implementation Plan (timeline): 2015-16 academic year

Key/Responsible Personnel:

Measures:

Resource Allocations:

Priority: Medium

Status for Implement portfolio review

No Status Added

Status Summary

No text specified

Summary of Next Steps

No text specified

2014-2015 Assessment Cycle

- **Assessment Plan**
- Assessment Findings
- Action Plan
- Status Report

2015-2016 Assessment Cycle

- **Assessment Plan**
- Assessment Findings
- Action Plan
- Status Report

2016-2017 Assessment Cycle

- **Assessment Plan**
- Assessment Findings
- Action Plan
- Status Report

2017-2018 Assessment Cycle

- **Assessment Plan**
- **Assessment Findings**
- Action Plan
- Status Report

2018-2019 Assessment Cycle

- **Assessment Plan**
- Assessment Findings
- Action Plan
- Status Report

2019-2020 Assessment Cycle

- **Assessment Plan**
- Assessment Findings
- Action Plan
- Status Report

Appendix

- A. **BFA in 2-Dimensional Art Curriculum Map** (Curriculum Map)
- B. **BFA in 3-dimensional art curriculum map** (Curriculum Map)
- C. Graphic Design Cirriculum Map (Curriculum Map)
 D. 2-D BFA Assessment Plan Spring 2012.pdf (Adobe Acrobat Document)

BFA in 2-Dimensional Art Outcome Set

OBJECTIVE #1: A thorough knowledge and appreciation for the periods and styles of art and the various and multifaceted social and cultural components that influenced them.

Outcome 1.1: Identifies the major styles, periods, and philosophies of art and their relationship to the making of art [NASAD H.XI.C.2].

Measure: Department of Art Writing Comparison Assessment

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

• •

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Paspansible Individuals: Art Dant Assass

Responsible Individuals: Art Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Measure: Department of Art Graduating Survey question **Details/Description:** Survey given at graduation

Target: 75% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final

semester

Responsible Individuals: Dept. of Art Assessment Coordinator

Courses where OBJECTIVE #1 is met: Core, ARTH 371, upper level Art History classes, Advanced level Studio classes (ARTS 415, 430, 435, 440, 451), ARTP 496

OBJECTIVE #2: An understanding of the theoretical framework that is the underpinning of 2-Dimensional Art and the capacity to communicate it.

Outcome 2.1: Understands the common elements and vocabulary of art/design and of the interaction of these elements, and be able to employ this knowledge in analysis [NASAD H.VIII.B.2b]

Measure: Department of Art Writing Comparison Assessment

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late Spring Semester

Responsible Individuals: Art Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Measure: Visual/Verbal test

Details/Description: Multiple choice vocabulary exam **Target:** .25 increase in overall average score on second measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, students take it 3 times during their program (1st semester, midpoint, final semester) **Responsible Individuals:** Art Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Outcome 2.2: Gains familiarity with the historical achievements, current major issues, processes and directions in 2D art [NASAD H.VIII.B.1a.(3)]

Measure: Mid point review

Details/Description: Portfolio/paper review

Target: 50% score 4 or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): every Spring semester

Responsible Individuals: Assessment Committee

Outcome 2.3: Demonstrates understanding of the similarities, differences, and relationships among the various 2D media and processes [NASAD H.IX.H.3e]

Measure: Department of Art Graduating Survey question **Details/Description:** Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final

semester

Responsible Individuals: Dept. of Art Assessment Coordinator

List Courses where OBJECTIVE #2 is met: Core classes, Introductory 2-D Studio classes (ARTS 215, 230, 235, 240, 251), 3/400 level art history

OBJECTIVE #3: An advanced level of technical expertise within the students' concentration.

Outcome 3.1: Conceives, designs, and creates works in the chosen concentration [NASAD H.IX.h.3c]

Measure: Department of Art BFA Exhibit Assessment

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork

Target: 90% receive "very good" (5) or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): End of final semester

Responsible Individuals: 2-D Studio Art Faculty

Measure: Department of Art Graduating Survey questions

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final

semester

Responsible Individuals: Dept. of Art Assessment Coordinator

Outcome 3.2: Utilizes technologies and equipment applicable to two-dimensional art [NASAD H.VIII.B.3]

Measure: Department of Art Graduating Survey question

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final

semester

Responsible Individuals: Dept. of Art Assessment Coordinator

List Courses where OBJECTIVE #3 is met: Introductory 2-D classes (ARTS 215, 230, 235, 240, 251), Intermediate 2-D classes (ARTS 316, 331, 336, 341, 351) ARTP 496.

OBJECTIVE #4: The cognitive ability to think conceptually and critically, and to demonstrate these both visually and verbally.

Outcome 4.1: Displays knowledge of various aesthetic issues, processes, and media and their relationship to the conceptualization, development, and completion of works of art [NASAD H.IX.H.3d]

Measure: Department of Art BFA Exhibit Assessment

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork

Target: 90% receive "very good" (5) or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): End of final semester

Responsible Individuals: 2-D Studio Art Faculty

Outcome 4.2: Works independently on a variety of two-dimensional art problems by combining, as appropriate to the issue, their capabilities in studio, analysis, history, and technology [NASAD H.VIII.B4]

Measure: Department of Art BFA Exhibit Assessment

Details/Description: Assessment of Exhibited Artwork

Target: 90% receive "very good" (5) or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): End of final semester

Responsible Individuals: 2-D Studio Art Faculty

Outcome 4.3: Analyzes works of two-dimensional art perceptively and evaluates them critically [NASAD H.VIII.B.2a]

Measure: Department of Art Writing Comparison Assessment

Details/Description: Comparative Essay

Target: .25 increase in overall average score on second

measure

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, early Fall and late

Spring Semester

Responsible Individuals: Art Dept. Assessment Coordinator

Measure: Department of Art Graduating Survey questions

Details/Description: Survey given at graduation

Target: 90% score "Agree" or above

Implementation Plan (timeline): Yearly, at end of final

semester

Responsible Individuals: Dept. of Art Assessment Coordinator

List Courses where OBJECTIVE #4 is met: ARTS 415, 435, 435, 440, 451, 400B, 400D, 400E, 400J