Student Learning Summary Form AY2015-16

Due to your dean by June 1 Due from dean to assessment office by June 15

Degree Program Name: Mathematics Teaching

Contact Name and Email: Winnie Ko; Winnie.Ko@indstate.edu

Before you complete the form below, review your outcomes library and curriculum map to ensure that they are accurate and up to date. If not, you may submit a new version along with this summary.

Part One

a. What learning outcomes	b. (1) What method(s)s did	c. What expectations did you	d. What were the actual	e. (1) Who was responsible
did you assess this year?	you use to determine how	establish for achievement of	results?	for collecting and analyzing
	well your students attained	the outcome?		the results? (2) How were
If this is a graduate program,	the outcome? (2) In what			they shared with the
indicate the Graduate	course or other required			program's faculty?
Student Learning Outcome*	experience did the			
each outcome aligns with.	assessment occur?			
1. Apply knowledge of curriculum standards for middle or high school mathematics and their relationship to student learning within and across mathematical domains.	 Students' unit plans were graded and collected in Math 388-The Teaching of Middle School Mathematics. Students' unit reports were graded and collected in Math 391-The Teaching of High School Mathematics. 	Students accurately identified and applied the content and the process standards most of the time throughout the unit plan or the unit report.	More than 50% of students met or exceeded the expectations.	 Dr. Jodi Frost shared the results at an informal meeting. Dr. Winnie Ko shared the results at an informal meeting.
2. Analyze and consider research in planning for and leading students in rich mathematical learning experiences.	 Students' unit plans were graded and collected in Math 388-The Teaching of Middle School Mathematics. Students' unit reports were graded and collected in Math 391-The Teaching of High School Mathematics. 	 At least two appropriate sources outside the primary text were correctly referenced and utilized throughout the unit plan or the unit report Students had few or no tasks that were only procedural in nature and provides accurate analysis for most tasks in the unit plan or the unit report. 	More than 50% of students in Math 388 or Math 391 met or exceeded the expectations.	 Dr. Jodi Frost shared the results at an informal meeting. Dr. Winnie Ko shared the results at an informal meeting.
3. Plan lessons and units that incorporate a variety of strategies, differentiated instruction for diverse populations, and mathematics-specific and instructional technologies in building all middle or high students' conceptual understanding and procedural proficiency.	 Students' unit reports were graded and collected in Math 388-The Teaching of Middle School Mathematics. Students' lesson plans were graded and collected in Math 391-The Teaching of High School Mathematics. 	 At least two strategies are used and there is an attempt to differentiate instruction. At least one task uses technology appropriately. At least two tasks use instructional tools appropriately and effectively. 	More than 50% of students in Math 388 or Math 391 met or exceeded the expectations.	 Dr. Jodi Frost shared the results at an informal meeting. Dr. Winnie Ko shared the results at an informal meeting.

4. Provide middle or high school students with opportunities to communicate about mathematics and make connections among mathematics, other content areas, everyday life, and the workplace.	 Students' unit reports were graded and collected in Math 388-The Teaching of Middle School Mathematics. Students' lesson plans were graded and collected in Math 391-The Teaching of High School Mathematics. 	 A connection among mathematics was made at least once throughout the unit plan or the unit report. Unit report or the unit plan provided opportunities to communicate peer-to-teacher. 	More than 50% of students in Math 388 or Math 391 met or exceeded the expectations.	 Dr. Jodi Frost shared the results at an informal meeting. Dr. Winnie Ko shared the results at an informal meeting.
5. Implement techniques related to student engagement and communication including selecting high quality tasks, guiding mathematical discussions, identifying key mathematical ideas, identifying and addressing student misconceptions, and employing a range of questioning strategies	 Students' unit reports were graded and collected in Math 388-The Teaching of Middle School Mathematics. Students' lesson plans were graded and collected in Math 391-The Teaching of High School Mathematics. 	 Three or more high quality tasks were included in the unit plan or the unit report. Students attempted to correctly identify and address middle or high school students' misconceptions in the unit plan or the unit report. Students sued at least two questioning strategies in the unit plan or the unit report Some opportunity for meaningful mathematical discussions was provided in the unit plan or the unit report. 	More than 50% of students in Math 388 or Math 391 met or exceeded the expectations.	 Dr. Jodi Frost shared the results at an informal meeting. Dr. Winnie Ko shared the results at an informal meeting.
6. Plan, select, implement, interpret, and use formative and summative assessments to inform instruction by reflecting on mathematical proficiencies essential for all middle or high school students.	 Students' unit reports were graded and collected in Math 388-The Teaching of Middle School Mathematics. Students' lesson plans were graded and collected in Math 391-The Teaching of High School Mathematics. 	 Some appropriate formative assessment was used. Only two appropriate summative assessments were used. Students attempted to address the appropriate mathematical proficiencies essential for all middle or high school students. 	More than 50% of students in Math 388 or Math 391 met or exceeded the expectations.	 Dr. Jodi Frost shared the results at an informal meeting. Dr. Winnie Ko shared the results at an informal meeting.
7. Exhibit knowledge of pre- adolescent and adolescent learning, development, and behavior and demonstrate a positive disposition toward mathematical processes and learning.	 Students' unit reports were graded and collected in Math 388-The Teaching of Middle School Mathematics. Students' lesson plans were graded and collected in Math 391-The Teaching of High School Mathematics. 	Students demonstrated a positive disposition toward mathematical processes that is sometimes incorporated in the unit plan or the unit report. Some tasks showed evidence of candidate knowledge of pre-adolescent and adolescent learning, development, and behavior.	More than 50% of students in Math 388 or Math 391 met or exceeded the expectations.	 Dr. Jodi Frost shared the results at an informal meeting. Dr. Winnie Ko shared the results at an informal meeting.
8. Plan and create developmentally appropriate, sequential, and challenging learning opportunities	Students' unit reports were graded and collected in Math 388-The Teaching of Middle School Mathematics.	 Students provided some discussion of sequential learning opportunities. Most learning opportunities 	More than 50% of students in Math 388 or Math 391 met or exceeded the expectations.	 Dr. Jodi Frost shared the results at an informal meeting. Dr. Winnie Ko shared the results at an informal meeting.

grounded in mathematics education research in which students are actively engaged in building new knowledge from prior knowledge and experiences.	Students' lesson plans were graded and collected in Math 391-The Teaching of High School Mathematics.	were challenging and grounded in mathematics education research. • At least half of the tasks required active engagement and building new knowledge. • Most learning opportunities	
		were developmentally appropriate.	

^{*} See https://www2.indstate.edu/graduate/forms/review.pdf.

Part Two

In no more than one page, summarize 1) the discoveries assessment has enabled you to make about your students' learning, the curriculum, departmental processes, and/or the assessment plan itself; 2) the changes and improvements you have made or will make in response to these discoveries and/or the coordinator's feedback on the previous summary; and 3) what your assessment plan will focus on in the coming year.

- To meet the NCTM CAEP Standards (2012) Middle Grade and Secondary In 2015-16, the mathematics education committee members developed the rubrics to access preservice middle and high school mathematics teachers' learning. The committee members also decided to collect pre-service middle school teachers' unit plans and pre-service high school mathematics teachers' unit reports and to use the new rubrics to evaluate their work. The committee members expect that 50% of students met the expectations. As the chart above shows, the majority of students met or exceeded our expectations.
- Dr. Jodi Frost and Dr. Winnie Ko had used the new rubrics to evaluate students' unit plans or unit reports, and they found that several of our developed rubrics might need to revise. For the mathematics education curriculum meetings in the coming year, the committee members will discuss the revision of our criteria for evaluating students' unit plans or unit reports.
- Unit plans or unit reports continue to enable students to demonstrate their knowledge and understanding of mathematics teaching and learning. The mathematics education committee members will continue to evaluate students' unit plans or unit reports using the revised rubrics. Also, the committee members will develop an assessment to evaluate pre-service middle or high school mathematics teachers' content knowledge of mathematics in the coming year.

Student Learning Summary Report Rubric :: Office of Assessment & Accreditation :: Indiana State University

Degree Program: <u>BS in Mathematics Teaching</u> Date: <u>8.23.16</u>

	Level 0 – Undeveloped	Level 1 – Developing	Level 2 – Mature	Level 3 – Exemplary
1. Student Learning Outcomes	Level 0 – Undeveloped No outcomes are identified. No Curriculum Map was provided.	□ Outcomes were identified. □ Some of the outcomes are specific, measurable, student-centered, program-level outcomes. □ A Curriculum Map was provided.	□ Outcomes are specific, measurable, student-centered, program-level outcomes. □ Outcomes at least indirectly support Foundational Studies Learning Outcomes or the Graduate Learning Goals. □ The Curriculum Map identifies where/to what extent each outcome is addressed. □ At least one outcome was assessed in this cycle.	Outcomes are specific, measurable, student-centered program-level outcomes that span multiple learning domains. Outcomes directly integrate with Foundational Studies Learning Outcomes or the Graduate Learning Goals. Outcomes reflect the most important results of program completion (as established by an accreditor or other professional organization). Learning outcomes are consistent across different modes of delivery (face-to-face and online.) Outcomes are regularly reviewed (and revised, if necessary) by the faculty and other stakeholders. The Curriculum Map identifies where/to what extent each outcome is addressed and offers evidence that students have sufficient opportunity to
				have sufficient opportunity to master the associated learning outcomes. Two or more outcomes were

				assessed in this cycle.
2.	Measures & Performance Goals	□ No measures are provided. □ No goals for student performance are identified.	At least one direct measure was provided for each outcome. Some information is provided to suggest that measures are appropriate to the outcomes being assessed. Measures include course and/or assignment grades, and general information is provided to indicate that grades are calibrated to the outcomes. Clear and appropriate standards for performance are identified. Mechanisms (rubrics, checklists, criterion-referenced exams, etc.) were provided.	

				If a measure is used to assess
				more than one outcome, a clear
				explanation is offered to
				substantiate how this is
				effective.
3. Results	No data are being	Some data are being	Data are being collected and	Clear, specific, and complete
	collected.	collected and analyzed.	analyzed.	details about data collection,
				analysis, and interpretation of
	No information is	Some results are provided.	Results are provided.	results are provided to
	provided about the data			demonstrate the validity and
	collection process.	Insufficient information is	Some information is offered	usefulness of the assessment
	·	offered to demonstrate that	to demonstrate that data	process.
	No results are provided.	data collection, analysis, and	collection, analysis, and	
		interpretation processes are	interpretation processes are	Students generally are
	Students are meeting	valid.	valid and meaningful.	achieving the performance
	few of the performance		_	standards expected of them and
	standards set for them.	Students are achieving some	Students generally are	demonstrate continuous
		of the performance standards	achieving the performance	improvement on standards they
		expected of them.	standards expected of them.	have yet to achieve/achieve less
				well.
				If students are required to
				pass a certification or licensure
				exam to practice in the field, the
				pass rate meets the established
				benchmark.
4. Engagement &	No one is assigned	The same faculty member is	Multiple faculty members	All program faculty
Improvement	responsibility for assessing	responsible for collecting and	are engaged in collecting and	members are engaged in
	individual measures.	analyzing most/all assessment	analyzing results.	collecting and analyzing results.
		results.		
	Assessment primarily is		Results regularly are shared	Faculty regularly and
	the responsibility of the	It is not clear that results are	with the faculty.	specifically reflect on students'
	program chair.	shared with the faculty as a		recent achievement of
		whole on a regular basis.	The faculty regularly engages	performance standards and
	☐ No improvements		in meaningful discussions about	implement plans to adjust
	(planned or actual) are	Plans for improvement are	the results of assessment.	activities, performance goals,
	identified.	provided, but they are not		outcomes, etc. according to
		specific and/or do not clearly	These discussions lead to the	established timelines.
	No reflection is offered	connect to the results.	development of specific,	
	about previous results or		relevant plans for improvement.	Faculty and other important

				participate in the development of recommendations for
				improvement.
				Continuous improvement in student learning occurs as the result of assessment.
				Outcomes and results are easily accessible to stakeholders on/from the program website.
				Assessment is integrated with teaching and learning.
Overall Rating	Level 0 – Undeveloped	Level 1 - Developing	□ Level 2 – Mature	Level 3 – Exemplary

COMMENTS

Strengths, Concerns, Recommendations for Improvement

1. Learning Outcomes

The eight outcomes listed in the Student Learning Summary Report are clear, specific, and measurable, as well as spanning multiple learning domains. They also reflect CAEP expectations for student learning.

2. Measures & Performance Goals

The program uses two measures, the unit plan and report, to assess each of the eight outcomes. Specific details clarify expectations for performance and demonstrate that these measures are appropriate to the outcomes. Please add numerical expectations to column c (e.g., 50% of students will accurately identify and apply...). Isn't the standard (50% will meet or exceed expectations) set a bit low, particularly for teacher candidates? Last, because students in this program are required to pass the state licensure exam in order to teach, please include this as one of your measures. You also need to identify an indirect measure.

3. Results

While column b makes it clear that you assess each outcome separately, column d lumps results together. Can you separate them? Otherwise, you can't pinpoint students' strengths and weaknesses and develop appropriate plans for improvement. Be sure to include the number of students assessed as well.

4. Engagement & Improvement

Two faculty members are responsible for collecting, analyzing, and sharing results. What is an "informal meeting"? Part Two provides a general summary of assessment processes and results and identifies one plan for improvement (revising the rubrics). In next year's report, please discuss what assessment specifically has taught you about what students know and can do well and less well and how you plan to address the latter. Is there evidence that learning continuously improves?

You have very solid assessment plan. I look forward to learning more about it!